

**REMARKS**

In response to the Restriction Requirement set forth in the Official Action of March 9, 2007, applicant provisionally elects Group I; that is, claims 1-26. This election is made with traverse in view of the enclosed preliminary amendment with respect to claim 27.

Specifically, claim 27 as preliminarily amended, requires the features of claim 1; that is, the actions of the optics and detector as those terms have been amended in claim 1.

Applicant therefore respectfully requests reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement since a single general inventive concept set forth in PCT Rules 13.1 and 13.2 is believed to be met.


Support for the amendment to claim 27 is found in the original application as filed, including page 8, lines 10-25 (see particularly lines 22-25), as well as Figure 9 and page 20, lines 14-26 where it is shown that the access unit is similar to the one referred to on page 8, lines 22-25.

If the Office continues to require restriction, then claims 27-49 are provisionally withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for examination and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 4, 2007

  
Alfred A. Fressola  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 27,550

WARE, FRESSOLA, VAN DER SLUYS  
& ADOLPHSON LLP  
Bradford Green, Building Five  
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224  
Monroe, CT 06468  
Telephone: (203) 261-1234  
Facsimile: (203) 261-5676  
USPTO Customer No. 004955